

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/505,407 | 08/23/2004 | Vladimir Bykov | 1505-1052 | 8979 |
| 466 7590 07/20/2009 YOUNG & THOMPSON | | | EXAMINER | |
| 209 Madison Street | | | VAKILI, ZOHREH | |
| Suite 500 ALEXANDRI | A. VA 22314 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 1614 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/20/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address REPLY FILED 02 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16 and 17 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: /Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant adds new limitations to the claims that requires further search and/or consideration. Specifically, please see claim 16 in which Applicant has added the limitation "cancer selected from the group". This newly added limitation restricts the type of cancer. Further, Applicant adds new limitations to the claims that raise the issue of new matter. New matter issues are raised when Applicant includes limitations in the claims that he/she clearly did not have possession of at the time of invention. The silience of the disclosure regarding treating ovarian cancer is not sufficient to now claim of such a disease because nowhere in the disclosure has Applicant discussed the treatmnet of such disease in the context of the claimed method. Applicant did not have possession of the claimed invention.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment will not be entered into the record because of the addition of new limitations that have not been previously considered and/or searched. However, in the interest of compact prosecution, applicant's remarks are considered, but are not persuasive for the reasons previously made of record in the final rejection (see pages 2-8) and in further view of the following comments: Applicant has amended the claims to indicate that the method is for treating a mutant p53 mediated cancer selected from the group consisting of osteosarcoma, lung adenocarcinoma, Burkitt lymphoma, ovarian carcinoma and colon carcinoma. By amending the claim Applicant has not overcome the rejection. Whether it is a mutant p53 mediated cancer or a mutant p53 mediated cancer selected from the group consisting of osteosarcoma, lung adenocarcinoma, Burkitt lymphoma, ovarian carcinoma and colon carcinoma. Applicant has not shown that one compound or one medication can treat all these different kinds of cancers or diseases such as cancer. These different types of cancer are very broad and very different from each other. Applicant has not shown that the same compound that is enabled to treat lung disease can also treat ovarian cancer. In fact, the introduction of ovarian cancer is new matter and there is no support in the specification for treating such cancer, ovarian cancer. Applicant did not have possession of the claimed invention. Further, Applicant did not have possession of treating ovarian cancer with such compound at the time the application was filed. Applicant's remarks have been fully and carefully considered in their entirety, but fail to be persuasive. This amendment is not being entered into the record and, accordingly, Applicant's remarks related to the enablement rejection by such an amendment are not persuasive. Accordingly, the amendment will not be entered for the reasons above and claims 16 and 17 remain rejected for the reasons of record set forth in the final rejection of April 3, 2009.

/Zohreh Vakili/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 1614